Interested Party Presentation in Certificate of Need Hearing for the Green Mountain Surgery Center

April 13, 2017
Agenda of Witnesses

1. Jeffrey Tieman, MA, President and Chief Executive Officer, Vermont Association of Hospitals and Health Systems
   - VAHHS’ position on the proposed ambulatory surgical center

2. James Medendorp, MA, Vice President, Strategic and Financial Planning Practice, Kaufman Hall & Associates (an expert witness)
   - Kaufman Hall’s report on operating and procedure room (“OR and PR”) capacity in Vermont

3. Walter Morrissey, MD, Managing Director, Strategic and Financial Planning Practice, Kaufman Hall & Associates (an expert witness; available by phone)
   - Kaufman Hall’s report on OR and PR capacity in Vermont

4. Chris Oliver, MHA, Vice President, Clinical Services, University of Vermont Medical Center
   - The University of Vermont Medical Center’s OR and PR scheduling processes and capacity

5. Michael Del Trecco, Senior Vice President, Finance and Operations, Vermont Association of Hospitals and Health Systems
   - The financial impact of the ambulatory surgical center
   - Health reform efforts and the ambulatory surgical center
Overview

• Vermont is nationally recognized as a successful health care system
  • Recently ranked #1 health care system in nation
  • High quality
  • Non-profit: invested in community and population health

• Vermont is a highly regulated health care system
  • Unparalleled scrutiny and management of hospital budgets
  • Regulatory structure has helped control cost growth
Oversight & Regulation

- The Green Mountain Surgery Center (“GMSC”) would not be regulated by:
  - The Green Mountain Care Board
  - The Vermont Department of Health
- The GMSC would not be licensed by the State.
- The GMSC would not be subject to:
  - Hospital budget review
  - Adverse event reporting
  - Provider tax assessment
Level Competition

- Competition works in many areas of the country, but Vermont has chosen a regulatory framework to lower costs and optimize quality across the health care system.
- Competition is not level when one health care provider is not subject to the same rules the others are.
- The GMSC can choose to:
  - Provide only profitable services
  - Selectively accept patients
  - Avoid hospital regulation
Why We Oppose the GMSC...

- Vermont has chosen careful regulation. The GMSC would be outside this structure.
- There is no need in our system for a surgical center. Existing capacity provided by the hospitals is sufficient to meet demand.
- A new, for-profit facility does not fit Vermont’s collaborative framework and would harm hospitals.
- The GMSC is inconsistent with health reform efforts.
- Hospitals are accountable to Vermonters. The GMSC would be accountable to investors.
- The CON criteria are not met by this application.
The Green Mountain Surgery Center (GMSC): Need Assessment Summary

Testimony of James Medendorp, MA and Walter Morrissey, MD

April 13, 2017
Summary of Findings

• There is available operating room and procedure room capacity in Northwest Vermont today based on current utilization rates

• Population growth in the region is expected to be relatively stagnant, growing <0.5% annually in Chittenden County

• Surgical usage rate trends applied to a relatively stagnant population suggest that the demand for inpatient surgeries is decreasing over time while the demand for outpatient surgeries is increasing over time

• Surgical migration trends suggest that there is relatively little out-migration for patients that originate in Chittenden County compared to patients that originate from outside of Chittenden County
Summary of Findings (cont.)

OR Demand Projections v. Current Supply
By market definition

• Current OR supply is more than adequate to meet projected demand for the foreseeable future, regardless of market definition

• As the market definition expands, the longevity of current supply meeting demand lengthens past 2050 assuming efficient utilization

Note: Current OR Supply is based on the number of operating rooms at VAHHS member hospitals in Addison County, Chittenden County, Franklin County, Grand Isle County, Lamoille County and Washington County
Assumptions

• Operating room need is based on the following assumptions:
  – Surgical demand projections subject to population growth trends, inpatient- and outpatient-surgical usage rate trends, average surgical case time
  – Surgical supply projections based on holding the current available operating room capacity constant
Summary of Implications

• The construction of two additional operating rooms in Chittenden County would result in an oversupply of operating rooms in the market in the near term, which would lead to an increase in price for all other service lines to cover potential losses.

• The cost implications of an oversupply of operating room space across the local healthcare economy contradicts a movement toward value-based care.

• Technological innovation and changing practice patterns could limit the need for operating room or procedure room space for some service lines identified by GMSC.

• Patient access is a function of available operating space and available physician supply, among other factors. Increasing available operating space without addressing physician supply shortages will not resolve patient access issues.
Qualifications, Assumptions and Limiting Conditions (v.12.08.06):

This Report is not intended for general circulation or publication, nor is it to be used, reproduced, quoted or distributed for any purpose other than those that may be set forth herein without the prior written consent of Kaufman, Hall & Associates, Inc. ("Kaufman Hall").

All information, analysis and conclusions contained in this Report are provided “as-is/where-is” and “with all faults and defects”. Information furnished by others, upon which all or portions of this report are based, is believed to reliable but has not been verified by Kaufman Hall. No warranty is given as to the accuracy of such information. Public information and industry and statistical data, including without limitation, data are from sources Kaufman Hall deems to be reliable; however, neither Kaufman Hall nor any third party sourced make any representation or warranty to you, whether express or implied, or arising by trade usage, course of dealing, or otherwise. This disclaimer includes, without limitation, any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose (whether in respect of the data or the accuracy, timeliness or completeness of any information or conclusions contained in or obtained from, through, or in connection with this report), any warranties of non-infringement or any implied indemnities.

The findings contained in this report may contain predictions based on current data and historical trends. Any such predictions are subject to inherent risks and uncertainties. In particular, actual results could be impacted by future events which cannot be predicted or controlled, including, without limitation, changes in business strategies, the development of future products and services, changes in market and industry conditions, the outcome of contingencies, changes in management, changes in law or regulations. Kaufman Hall accepts no responsibility for actual results or future events.

The opinions expressed in this report are valid only for the purpose stated herein and as of the date of this report.

All decisions in connection with the implementation or use of advice or recommendations contained in this report are the sole responsibility of the client.

In no event will Kaufman Hall or any third party sourced by Kaufman Hall be liable to you for damages of any type arising out of the delivery or use of this Report or any of the data contained herein, whether known or unknown, foreseeable or unforeseeable.
University of Vermont Medical Center
Surgical Capacity

Chris Oliver, Vice President, Clinical Services

Facilities

• 22 Operating Rooms
  • 17 on Main Campus
  • 5 on Fanny Allen Campus

• 7 Procedure Rooms
  • 5 on Main Campus
  • 2 on Fanny Allen Campus

• 8 Endoscopy Rooms

Volumes

• 20,000 surgeries per year
• 13,000 endoscopies per year
Utilization

• Ideal *utilization* rate of 75%
  • Staffed and Open
  • Sufficient pre- and post-op rooms and staff
  • Current Utilization:
    ➢ 74% (Main Campus ORs)
    ➢ 63% (Fanny Allen Campus ORs)
    ➢ 71% (Endoscopy Rooms)
    ➢ 41% (Procedure Rooms)

• Room to accommodate all urgent, emergent and elective cases
  • Reduced Fanny Allen capacity to maintain 75% utilization rate
Capacity

• We have the ability to expand the OR hours available for utilization:
  • Increase hours of operation
  • Ample staff to accommodate additional volume

• Procedure rooms have numerous open blocks per month with no requests to fill them

• Endoscopy suite is available every Monday from 7:30AM until 12:00PM

• Every day there is staffed OR time that goes unused
Block Management

• Our surgical facilities are a community resource
• 154 surgeons use UVM Medical Center ORs
  • 22% independent physicians
• Reserved “block times”
  • Specified days and set periods of time
  • Independent and employed physicians have equal access, managed by OR Steering and Operations committees
• Surgeons schedule patients within their block times
  • Scheduling is at the surgeon’s convenience
• There is “open” OR time every day
Michael Del Trecco
Senior Vice President of Finance and Operations

• Services Offered
• System Costs: Hydraulics of Contribution Margin
• Green Mountain Surgery Center Ownership Structure
• Health Care Reform
Services Offered

• Hospitals, including physicians and support staff, provide ASCs with back-up coverage for unforeseen complications.
  • ASC service mix tends to be lower acuity and for patients with commercial insurance (MedPac Report on Ambulatory Surgical Centers Services, March 2015).

• Hospitals operate 24/7, 365 days and take all patients regardless of circumstance.
Impact on System Costs

• Hydraulics of Contribution Margin (cheaper for some is not cheaper for the system)

• As services with higher profitability (e.g., orthopedic services) are skimmed, the ability to cover fixed costs becomes more difficult.
  • Impact - Increase costs to consumers
  • Impact - Jeopardize mission of our not-for-profit health care system

• “When there is excess capacity in other industries ... stockholders generally bear the cost, and consumers win. But in health care, it is the consumer who can suffer from higher cost and lower quality of care.” (Health Affairs, Volume 24, Chapter 3, May, 2005)
Green Mountain Surgery Center Ownership Structure

- Conflict of interest: Physician ownership in for-profit setting
  - Highly controversial to refer patients to a facility that is owned by physician shareholders.
- For-profit vs. Not-for-profit \(\rightarrow\) Investor-owned is significantly different than mission-based, not-for-profit organization.
Health Care Reform

ASCs are inconsistent with Vermont’s health care reform efforts

• Not part of the community care delivery system
• Primary goal of a for-profit provider is to increase shareholder wealth, not invest in reform
• No requirement that ASC conduct or participate in Community Health Needs Assessment
• Misaligned incentives for Vermont health care delivery system
  • Fee-for-Service vs. fixed payment for value and quality
CON Criteria Not Met

• A CON shall not be issued if even one of the statutory criteria listed in 18 V.S.A. § 9437 is not met.

• The GMSC fails to meet 4 of the 7 criteria.

• Criteria Not Met:
  • The GMSC will not serve the PUBLIC GOOD:
    • Inconsistent with health care reform initiatives
    • Does not help meet the needs of medically underserved
    • Does not facilitate the implementation of the Blueprint
    • Impedes the effective integration and coordination of health care services
  • There is no identifiable, existing or reasonably anticipated NEED.
  • The project does not improve QUALITY of health care in the state or provide greater ACCESS to health care for Vermont’s residents.
  • Given its impact on the Vermont health care system, the COST of project is unreasonable.
Proposal for Multispecialty ASC is Contrary to Public Policy

It is declared to be the public policy of this state that the general welfare and protection of the lives, health, and property of the people of this state require that all new health care projects be offered or developed in a manner which avoids unnecessary duplication and contains or reduces increases in the cost of delivering services, while at the same time maintaining and improving the quality of and access to health care services, and promoting rational allocation of health care resources in the state; and that the need, cost, type, level, quality, and feasibility of providing any new health care project be subject to review and assessment prior to any offering or development.

Health Facility Planning, Policy and Purpose, 18 V.S.A. § 9431