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Act 182 of 2018: Overview

➢ In response to the elimination of the federal penalty associated with the individual mandate (Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017), the General Assembly passed Act 182 of 2018:
  ➢ Requirement that Vermonters shall maintain minimum essential coverage
  ➢ Intent that the 2019 General Assembly should enact a financial penalty or other enforcement mechanism
  ➢ Established the Individual Mandate Working Group, to develop recommendations regarding:
    ➢ Minimum Essential Coverage
    ➢ Exemptions
    ➢ Enforcement
    ➢ Administration
Act 182 of 2018: Report Timeline

- 7/1: First Meeting of Working Group called by GMCB designee
- 9/26: Board Meeting - background and review preliminary recommendations
- 9/28-10/12: Public Comment
- 10/17 (tentative): Board Meeting - further review and/or discussion
- 10/23 (tentative): Working Group Final Vote
- 11/1: Report Deadline
Act 182 of 2018: Working Group

Membership
➢ Agency of Human Services: (Adaline Strumolo)
➢ Department of Financial Regulation (Emily Brown)
➢ Department of Tax (Doug Farnham)
➢ Green Mountain Care Board (Robin Lunge)
➢ Office of the Health Care Advocate (Mike Fisher)
➢ Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Vermont (Sara Teachout)
➢ MVP (Susan Gretkowski)

Meetings
➢ Six Meetings; Independent member(s) also did research and proposal development outside of public meetings
➢ Facilitator approach: Agendas and meetings led by most relevant organization
➢ Public Comments: accepted at each meeting; online; public comment period (September 28 – October 12)

Public comments on the draft report may be submitted:
• By email: imwgcomments@vtlegalaid.org
• By phone: (802) 828-5322 or (802) 828-4871
Act 182 of 2018: Working Group

Resources
- Staff from membership’s organizations: including insurers’ actuarial departments
- State Health and Value Strategies: Jason Levitis
- Colleagues in Other States
- Federal Issues Working Group resources

Principles & Process
Recommendations should:
- Focus on maintaining Vermont’s low uninsured rate
- Strive to be practical: balance the complexity of health care policy, administrative burden and Vermonters’ best interests
- Include alternative options to present different perspectives/priorities

---

1 Jason Levitis is a health policy expert focusing on the ACA’s tax provisions and state innovation waivers. He provides technical assistance to states in partnership with State Health and Value Strategies, a program housed at Princeton University and supported by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation. He is also a nonresident fellow at the Brookings Institution and a senior fellow at Yale Law School’s Solomon Center for Health Law and Policy. Until January 2017 he led ACA implementation at the U.S. Treasury Department.

2 The Federal Issues Working Group is a stakeholder group of Vermont organizations that responds to changes in federal health care policy.
## What we know about Vermont

### Federal Income Tax Returns: 2016 Data\(^1\)\(^2\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>All returns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Under $1 [1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPL Level</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of Vermont returns</td>
<td>325,860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of returns w/ penalty</td>
<td>10,590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ of penalty</td>
<td>$7,346,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Program Eligibility Thresholds
- \(^1\)Medicaid: up to 138% FPL
- \(^2\)Vermont Premium Assistance: up to 300% FPL
- \(^3\)Federal Premium Tax Credit: up to 400% FPL

Categories not broken down by household size, makes definitive conclusions more difficult
$10,000 - $25,000: Many family sizes should be eligible for Medicaid
$25,000 - $50,000: Some family sizes should be eligible for Medicaid, federal Advance Premium Tax Credit or Vermont premium assistance.

1. [2016 Report](#) on Individual Income and Tax Data, Vermont
2. As a result of confusion about the federal tax rules, the figures above include substantial numbers of erroneous payments by Medicaid-eligible families who were in fact exempt. The issue was detected, and the IRS took steps to correct it, including refunding payments, but the results of those efforts are not reflected above.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>All returns</th>
<th>Federal Income Tax Returns: 2015/2016 Vermont Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Delta between 2015 and 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Size of adjusted gross income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>under $10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPL Level</td>
<td></td>
<td>under $10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;84%</td>
<td>84% - 210%1,2,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;62%</td>
<td>62% - 156%1,2,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;50%</td>
<td>50% - 124%1,2,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt;41%</td>
<td>41% - 130%1,2,3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# of returns subject to penalty
-2,290 -10 -920 -950 -270 -80 -80 -0 0
% change
-18% -25% -23% -16% -15% -15% -22% 0% 0%
$ of penalty
$1,242,000 $9,000 $520,000 $581,000 $137,000 $13,000 $-48,000 $20,000 $10,000
% change
20% 100% 48% 24% 12% 2% -8% 10% 21%

Program Eligibility Thresholds
- Medicaid: up to 138% FPL
- Vermont Premium Assistance: up to 300% FPL
- Federal Premium Tax Credit: up to 400% FPL

Penalty increased from 2015 ($325/adult) to 2016 ($695/adult)

---

2. As a result of confusion about the federal tax rules, the figures above include substantial numbers of erroneous payments by Medicaid-eligible families who were in fact exempt. The issue was detected, and the IRS took steps to correct it, including refunding payments, but the results of those efforts are not reflected above.
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Table One: Maintenance Population Demographics of population projected to drop coverage as a result of removing the individual mandate penalty.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Range</th>
<th>Age Band</th>
<th>LT18</th>
<th>18-26</th>
<th>26-35</th>
<th>35-45</th>
<th>45-55</th>
<th>GT55</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Below 200% FPL</td>
<td></td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200% to 250% FPL</td>
<td></td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250% to 300% FPL</td>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300% to 400% FPL</td>
<td></td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above 400% FPL</td>
<td></td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table Two: Recruitment Population
Demographics of population uninsured in 2014; federal individual mandate penalty was in effect.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>0-17</th>
<th>18-24</th>
<th>25-34</th>
<th>35-44</th>
<th>45-64</th>
<th>65+</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% uninsured</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from 2012 to 2014</td>
<td>-1.5%</td>
<td>-6.9%</td>
<td>-7.2%</td>
<td>-2.1%</td>
<td>-2.5%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>-3.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FPL Level</th>
<th>Below 139%</th>
<th>139%-150%</th>
<th>151%-200%</th>
<th>201%-250%</th>
<th>251%-300%</th>
<th>301%-350%</th>
<th>351%-400%</th>
<th>Above 400%</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% uninsured</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from 2012 to 2014</td>
<td>-4.6%</td>
<td>-11.4%</td>
<td>-7.4%</td>
<td>-2.3%</td>
<td>-5.4%</td>
<td>-1.1%</td>
<td>-1.7%</td>
<td>-1.3%</td>
<td>-3.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Lewis & Ellis Individual Mandate Report: considers financial determinants and does not include non-financial considerations such as risk aversion, health status, pending legislation (Act 182 of 2018) or compliance accountability.
2 Vermont Household Health Insurance Survey 2014: 2018 results not available at time of report submission. 2018 results expected to be roughly similar to 2014, with a slightly lower uninsured rate overall and a statistically lower rate for those with Incomes <139% FPL.
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2019: In their individual and small group filings, BCBSVT and MVP each requested a 2.0% rate increase due to the elimination of the federal penalty, approximately a $9.8 million impact on overall total premium. The approved rates allowed for a 1.6% increase, resulting in an overall total premium impact of approximately $7.9 million.

Impact on 2019 Individual and Small Group Premiums

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carrier</th>
<th># of Lives</th>
<th>Filed Rate</th>
<th>Premium Impact</th>
<th>Approved Rate</th>
<th>Premium Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blue Cross/Blue Shield</td>
<td>52,591</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td>$ 6,954,599</td>
<td>1.60%</td>
<td>$ 5,563,679.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVP</td>
<td>25,223</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td>$ 2,891,984</td>
<td>1.60%</td>
<td>$ 2,313,587.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Beyond 2019: The status of the individual mandate penalty is unclear to many Americans at this time. As individuals develop a clearer understanding of the federal penalty’s status over time, enrollment and premiums may be impacted.

Public Confusion regarding status of the Individual Mandate

*Source: Kaiser Health Tracking Poll - March 2018: Non-Group Enrollees

1. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Vermont 2019 Individual and Small Group Filing
2. MVP 2019 Vermont Health Connect Filing
Understanding the Federal Individual Mandate

Presentation to the Green Mountain Care Board

Jason Levitis
September 26, 2018

STATE Health & Value STRATEGIES

Driving Innovation Across States

A grantee of the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation
State Health and Value Strategies (SHVS) assists states in their efforts to transform health and health care by providing targeted technical assistance to state officials and agencies. The program is a grantee of the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, led by staff at Princeton University’s Woodrow Wilson School of Public and International Affairs. The program connects states with experts and peers to undertake health care transformation initiatives. By engaging state officials, the program provides lessons learned, highlights successful strategies, and brings together states with experts in the field. Learn more at www.shvs.org.

Questions? Email Heather Howard at heatherh@Princeton.edu.
About Jason Levitis

Jason Levitis is principal at Levitis Strategies LLC, a healthcare consultancy focusing on the Affordable Care Act’s tax provisions and state innovation waivers. He provides technical assistance to states in partnership with State Health and Value Strategies. He is also a nonresident fellow at the Brookings Institution and a senior fellow at Yale Law School’s Solomon Center for Health Law and Policy. Until January 2017, he led ACA implementation at the U.S. Treasury Department.
Objectives

✓ Review the rules and workings of the federal individual mandate

✓ Highlight modifications made by states (New Jersey and DC) that recently passed mandates based on the federal one
Background

• The federal individual mandate took effect in 2014 along with the ACA’s other major coverage provisions.

• The December 2017 federal tax law repealed the federal mandate penalty, effective 2019.

• In 2018, NJ and DC passed individual mandates closely based on the federal one, effective 2019.

• In addition, Massachusetts enacted an individual mandate in 2007 as part of its health reform law.
Federal Individual Mandate
Major Features of the Federal Mandate

- **Basic structure**: Requires individuals to maintain qualifying coverage, qualify for an exemption, or pay a penalty
- **Key parameters**:
  - Definition of qualifying coverage
  - Available exemptions
  - Penalty calculation
- **Administration**: Primarily through the federal income tax system; certain exemptions granted by federal Marketplace
- **Coverage reporting**: Health insurers and other coverage providers report on coverage to IRS, with copy to covered individuals
- **Outreach to the Uninsured**: Leveraging information collected

*The Mass. mandate includes these same major elements; many of the specifics are similar.*
• Referred to as “minimum essential coverage” or “MEC”
• Generally includes all conventional public and private health coverage
  • Employer coverage – insured and self-insured
  • Individual market health insurance
  • Medicare
  • Medicaid
  • VA coverage, Tricare, etc.
• Excludes limited coverage like short-term plans, dental and vision plans, and fixed indemnity plans
• CMS has authority to designate addition coverage as MEC
  • Example: self-insured student health plans
Exemptions

• Available for:
  • Low income (below tax filing threshold)
  • Unaffordable coverage (cost to enrollee exceeds 8% (indexed) of income)
  • Short coverage gaps (less than 3 months)
  • Membership in Indian tribes, health care sharing ministries, and certain religious groups (must have long-standing exemptions from Social Security and Medicare payroll taxes)
  • Individuals living abroad
  • Certain non-citizens, including undocumented immigrants and non-resident aliens
  • Other hardships (loss of a job, foreclosure, death of a family member, etc.)

• Most claimed on tax return, but a few granted by Marketplace through separate process available year-round

• CMS has broad authority to designate additional exemptions
Penalty Calculation

- Zero at low incomes
- Increases with income and number uninsured
- No one pays more than cost of coverage
- Prorated for part-year coverage

See Appendix for additional details
Administration

• Penalty collected through individual income tax system
• Single line on Form 1040 has check-box for full-year coverage, space for penalty amount
• Exemptions claimed or reported on Form 8965
## IRS Form 1040, Line 61

### Payments

- **64** Federal income tax withheld from Forms W-2 and 1099
- **65** 2017 estimated tax payments and amount applied from 2016 return
- **66a** Earned income credit (EIC)
- **66b** Nonrefundable combat pay election
- **67** Additional child tax credit. Attach Schedule 8812
- **68** American opportunity credit from Form 8863, line 8
- **69** Net premium tax credit. Attach Form 8962
- **70** Amount paid with request for extension to file
- **71** Excess social security and tier 1 RRTA tax withheld
- **72** Credit for federal tax on fuels. Attach Form 4136
- **73** Credits from Form 2438, b. Renewal c. 8868, d
- **74** Add lines 64, 65, 66a, and 67 through 73. These are your total payments

### Refund

- **75** If line 74 is more than line 63, subtract line 63 from line 74. This is the amount you overpaid
- **76a** Amount of line 75 you want refunded to you. If Form 8866 is attached, check here

### Other Taxes

- **57** Self-employment tax. Attach Schedule SE
- **58** Unreported social security and Medicare tax from Form 8949
- **59** Additional tax on IRAs, other qualified retirement plans, etc. Attach Form 5329 if required
- **60a** Household employment taxes from Schedule H
- **60b** First-time homebuyer credit repayment. Attach Form 5405 if required
- **61** Health care individual responsibility (see instructions) Full-year coverage
- **62** Taxes from: a. Form 8959 b. Form 8960 c. Instructions: enter code(s)
- **63** Add lines 56 through 62. This is your total tax

*As credits:*
- Single or married filing separately, $6,350
- Married filing jointly or qualifying widow(er), $12,700
- Head of household, $8,300

*Add lines 48 through 54. These are your total credits*

*Subtract line 55 from line 47. If line 55 is more than line 47, enter 0*

*Add lines 56 through 62. This is your total tax*
Outreach to the Uninsured

- Mandate provides detailed information about who is uninsured
- ACA leverages this info by providing for the IRS to notify the uninsured of coverage options each year
  - The IRS has generally used alternative means for this outreach
- Mass. has made robust use of this information for outreach
  - Credits it as major reason for lowest-in-nation uninsured rate
DC and NJ Changes

- Exemption based on state filing threshold
- Exemption and for out-of-state residents
- Exemption for Medicaid-eligible income (DC only)
  - NJ has authority to do something similar
- Penalty cap tied to state-average bronze premium instead of national average
- Ensure no double-payment if federal penalty reinstated
- Reporting requirement modified for simplicity and state authority
- Other adjustments for state context
Thank You

Contact information:
Jason Levitis
jason.levitis@gmail.com
203-671-2609
Appendix: Detail on Penalty Calculation
Penalty Calculation: Nuts and Bolts

- Penalty = greater of a flat dollar amount and an income-based amount, capped at the cost of coverage
  - Flat dollar amount
    - $695 per adult (half that for children)
    - Up to $2,085
  - Income-based amount
    - 2.5% of income over filing threshold ($12K/$24K)
  - Cap
    - Nat’l average bronze premium for 21-year-old (about $3,800 per person)
    - Only those with very high incomes affected (e.g., $164K for a single person)
- Prorated based on months without coverage

All values for 2018
Penalty Calculation: Examples

• Example 1: Single individual with $50K income (412% of fed’l poverty line)
  – Flat dollar amount = $695
  – Income-based amount = 2.5% x ($50K – $12K) = $950
  – Cap = $3,800
  – Penalty = $950 ($79 per month)

• Example 2: Married couple with 2 kids, $80K income (319% of FPL)
  – Flat dollar amount = 2 x $695 + 2 x $347.50 = $2,085
  – Income-based amount = 2.5% x ($80K – $24K) = $1,400
  – Cap = 4 x $3,800 = $15,200
  – Penalty = $2,085 ($174 per month)

*All values for 2018*
Coverage Reporting

- Who must report: Providers of MEC, including:
  - Insurers
  - Self-insuring employers
  - Public programs
- What is reported:
  - List of covered individuals, with months covered
- Who reporting goes to:
  - IRS and covered individuals
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