

FY24 Hospital Budget Guidance

Sarah Lindberg, Director of Health Systems Finance

March 29, 2023

Agenda

- Recap work to date
- Review final proposed factors
 - Ratio of bad debt to free care
 - Financial indicators
 - Known pricing changes: Medicare and Medicaid
- Hearings and deliberations
- Walk through guidance
- Decision points in adopting guidance
 - Benchmark
 - Factors
 - Budget policies
 - Overall guidance

FY24 Budget Process



- As the GMCB looks to update and improve its regulatory oversight, staff recommended an approach for FY24, which is a bridge between past and future practices.
- Feedback suggested it would be preferable to use the traditional benchmark, Net Patient Service Revenue, in this bridge year.
 - Factors associated with expense growth and commercial price growth would be assessed for each proposed budget, including relevant data sources.
 - Hospitals would indicate how factors were incorporated in the proposed budget and provide evidence to support assumptions.

Works in Progress for FY25



- Quality
 - Staff recommend continuing to develop the [Hospital Quality Framework](#) monitoring quality outcomes across the delivery system.
- Productivity
 - Indicators are difficult to develop and validate. Substantial analysis and research is required to determine accurate and evidence-based metrics for hospital accountability.
- Patient Access
 - While the FY24 guidance will include a measure, staff recommend considering a partnership with another organization for more comprehensive indicators of patient access.
- Equity
 - Many measures are emerging, including some proposed by CMS. Staff recommend further exploration for GMCB's monitoring.
- Consumer Affordability
 - Staff recommend work in this area is developed to help inform the Board's larger regulatory work and determine how to consider it in hospital budget regulation.
- Per Capita Budgeting
 - These ideas are being explored as part of larger payment reform. GMCB will work on developing measurement in this area.

Factor: Uncompensated Care

- The Health Care Advocate recommends assessing the ratio of bad debt to free care as a measure of operational effectiveness and efficiency.
- In last years comments, they suggested setting a target, such as a 1-to-1 ratio by FY25.
- This standard is not typical in traditional fiscal analysis.
- Staff recommended that the GMCB use submissions to summarize this ratio in FY24. Staff also recommend:
 - reviewing evidence
 - researching new information being reported on Medicare Cost Reports
 - digging more deeply into definitions across data sources and adjusting GMCB definitions accordingly

Factor: Financial Indicators

- Given the current landscape of the industry, staff recommend continuing to use relative benchmarks for FY24.
 - Staff recommend continued development of standard metrics to use in combination with relative comparisons for FY25.
- Staff will review relative indicators available from a variety of sources:
 - Ratings agencies (i.e., Fitch, Moody's, S&P)
 - [National Flash Reports produced by KaufmanHall](#)
 - [Cecil G. Sheps Center for Health Services Research at the University of North Carolina](#)
 - [Flex Monitoring Team](#)
 - Medicare Cost Reports

Factor: Financial Indicators

- Margins measure the overall ratio of revenue and expenses:
 - Operating
 - Operating EBITDA
 - Total
- Days cash on hand indicates the number of days that current expenses could be paid with the cash currently available.
- Debt service coverage ratio indicates how well cash flow can cover principal and interest payments
 - Many lending agreements include debt covenants related to this measure, which are being breached or at high risk of breach.
- Long-term debt to capitalization ratio compares how much hospitals are relying on lending versus financing their capital projects.
 - This indicator is likely to swing as solvency is weakened.
- Average Age of Plant provides a sense of a facilities' infrastructural age.

Factor: Known Pricing Changes for Medicare and Medicaid



- GMCB staff will monitor and incorporate new information to keep it as up-to-date as possible.
- Medicare will likely release proposed and final rules related to inpatient and outpatient reimbursements for PPS hospitals that may be material to proposed budgets.
- Vermont Medicaid payments will also be monitored as part of the state appropriations process.
- Staff recommend considering these factors when evaluating the commercial price assumptions in proposed budgets.

FY24 Hearings and Deliberations



- Staff recommend that instead of requiring hospitals to prepare presentations, GMCB staff would lead the Board through a standard process to review proposed budgets and their accompanying narratives.
- Hospitals would participate to answer questions, provide clarification, and engage in discussion during the review.
- Hearings would be no more than 2 hours for each hospital.
- GMCB and the HCA would provide questions in advance to allow hospitals to prepare responsive exhibits if indicated.

FY24 Proposed Schedule



- Staff overview of submissions and analysis – Wed Aug 9

Meeting	Slot 1	Slot 2	Slot 3	# hospitals for day	# reviewed to date
Wed, Aug 9	Staff overview			2	2
Mon, Aug 14				3	5
Fri, Aug 18	8 to 9:30am	10am to noon	1 to 3pm	3	8
TBD				3	11
Wed, Aug 23				3	14
Wed, Aug 30	1 to 3pm	Deliberations			
Wed, Sept 6					
Mon, Sept 11	9 to 11am	Deliberations (if needed)			
Wed, Sep 13	1 to 3pm				

Walk through Final Draft of Guidance



- [Final draft of FY24 Hospital Budget Guidance](#)

Decision Points

1

Benchmark

2

Factors

3

Budget policies

4

Overall guidance

Decision Point: Benchmark

- The FY23 guidance established a 2-year growth rate in net patient service revenue, fixed prospective payments, and reserves (NPSR) of 8.6% from FY22 to FY24 budgets.
- Staff recommend using growth from FY22 actuals, which would extend the practice used in the FY23 budget review.
- Staff consider this an ambitious target and expects many hospitals may have difficulty achieving this benchmark.

FY24 NPR Benchmarks



	FY22 Actual	FY24 NPR Benchmark	FY23 Budget	FY23 to FY24
System	\$3,016,253,758	\$3,275,651,581	\$3,273,466,590	0.1%
PPS TOTAL	\$2,341,127,007	\$2,542,463,930	\$2,550,538,240	-0.3%
University of VT	\$1,497,464,148	\$1,626,246,065	\$1,658,725,627	-2.0%
Rutland	\$305,366,707	\$331,628,244	\$312,615,342	6.1%
Central VT	\$240,386,620	\$261,059,869	\$269,231,389	-3.0%
Southwestern	\$186,729,148	\$202,787,855	\$188,872,209	7.4%
Northwestern*	\$111,180,384	\$120,741,897	\$121,093,673	-0.3%
CAH TOTAL	\$675,126,751	\$733,187,652	\$722,928,349	1.4%
Northeastern VT*	\$106,102,056	\$115,226,833	\$110,058,000	4.7%
Brattleboro*	\$92,303,203	\$100,241,278	\$105,484,860	-5.0%
Porter	\$98,711,768	\$107,200,980	\$104,464,068	2.6%
North Country	\$85,710,463	\$93,081,563	\$98,854,148	-5.8%
Copley*	\$93,650,087	\$101,703,994	\$96,033,233	5.9%
Mt. Ascutney	\$62,582,387	\$67,964,472	\$65,869,470	3.2%
Springfield	\$53,066,802	\$57,630,547	\$58,778,639	-2.0%
Gifford*	\$59,880,936	\$65,030,696	\$58,010,676	12.1%
Grace Cottage	\$23,119,049	\$25,107,287	\$25,375,255	-1.1%

* Adjusted for provider transfer/acquisition and accounting changes.

Potential Motion: Benchmark



Suggested Motion Language:

Move that for the FY2024 hospital budget review process, the Green Mountain Care Board maintain the net patient revenue/fixed prospective payment (NPR/FPP) growth guidance established last year of no more than an aggregated 8.6% NPR/FPP growth over two years, but modified to measure the growth from FY2022 actuals to FY2024 budgeted NPR/FPP.

Decision Point: Factors

- Labor
 - Employment Cost Index
- Utilization
 - Data submitted to GMCB finance team from hospitals
 - Vermont Uniform Discharge Data Set
 - GMCB patient migration report
 - Census data
 - Wait time data submitted by hospitals in narrative
- Pharmaceutical costs
 - Producer Price Commodity Index for Prescription Drugs
- Cost inflation
 - Producer Price Index for General Medical and Surgical Hospitals
 - Medicare Cost Reports
 - Cost and Payment Variation Data from Burns and Associates
- Commercial price
 - Cost and Payment Variation Data from Burns and Associates
 - RAND Relative Pricing Project
 - Yale Health Care Pricing Project
 - GMCB Reimbursement Variation Report
- Financial benchmarks
 - Rating agency benchmarks
 - KauffmanHall National Flash Reports
 - Medicare Cost Reports
 - Flex Monitoring Team
- Known pricing changes: Medicare and Medicaid
 - State and federal law
- Uncompensated care
 - Medicare Cost Reports
- Other
 - Dartmouth Atlas of Health Care
 - All-Payer Model Total Cost of Care
 - Potentially Avoidable Utilization

Potential Motion: Factors

Suggested Motion Language:

- *Move to approve the factors and related data sources identified in the Hospital Budget Guidance as presented by Board staff [and with the modifications identified during this meeting].*

Decision Point: Policies

- The FY24 guidance incorporates the existing [Policy on Hospital Budget Enforcement](#)
- [There is also a draft Budget Amendments and Adjustments Policy renewing previous policy.](#)

Potential Motion: Policies

Suggested Motion Language:

- *Move to approve the Budget Amendments and Adjustments Policy as presented by Board staff.*

Potential Motion: Adopt Guidance



Suggested Motion Language:

- *Move that for the FY2024 hospital budget review process, the Green Mountain Care Board approve and adopt the FY24 Hospital Budget Guidance as presented by Board staff [and with the modifications identified during this meeting] to be effective as of March 31, 2023.*